**SJS 44** (Rev. 11/04)

#### CIVIL COVER SHEET

APPENDIX H

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS					
Milligan	& Company, LI		Maxine Marshall, Jonathan Potts,						
(b) County of Residence	hia	THE DPMG Group, Inc., Intera County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Eleme					Inc.		
	CEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CA	SES)		·	(IN U.S. PI	LAINTIFF CASES (	•		
				1	D CONDEMN. INVOLVED.	ATION CASES, US	SE THE LOCATI	ON OF TH	Œ
(c) Attorney's (Firm Name, Long, Marr 75 Cooper Woodbury,	Address, and Telephone Number mero & Mayer, Street NJ 08096	) , LLP		Attorneys (If Known)					
II. BASIS OF JURISD		One Box Only)		TIZENSHIP OF P (For Diversity Cases Only)	RINCIPA	L PARTIES	(Place an "X" in ( and One Box		
U.S. Government	☐ 3 Federal Question		PTF		DEF			PTF	DEF
Plaintiff ,	(U.S. Government	Not a Party)	Citize	en of This State		Incorporated or Pr of Business In Thi		X <sub>1</sub> 4	<b>0</b> 4
U.S. Government Defendant	☐K4 Diversity (Indicate Citizensh	ip of Parties in Item III)	Citizo	en of Another State	1 2 2	Incorporated and I of Business In		CD 5	ð s
	`	•		en or Subject of a  reign Country	3 🗇 3	Foreign Nation		<b>D</b> 6	06
IV. NATURE OF SUIT									
CONTRACT	DEDCONAL INDUDY			FEITURE/PENALTY		KRUPTCY	T .	STATUT	
☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment ☐ 151 Medicare Act ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits ☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability ☐ 196 Franchise ☐ 120 Land Condemnation ☐ 220 Foreclosure ☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment ☐ 240 Torts to Land ☐ 245 Tort Product Liability ☐ 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY  310 Airplane  315 Airplane Product Liability  320 Assault, Libel & Slander  330 Federal Employers' Liability  340 Marine  345 Marine Product Liability  350 Motor Vehicle  355 Motor Vehicle  750 Motor Vehicle  160 Other Personal Injury  CIVIL RIGHTS  441 Voting  442 Employment  443 Housing/ Accommodations  444 Welfare  445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment  446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other  440 Other Civil Rights	PERSONAL INJUR  362 Personal Injury Med. Malpractice  365 Personal Injury Product Liability  368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability  PERSONAL PROPER  370 Other Fraud  371 Truth in Lending  380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability  PRISONER PETITIO  510 Motions to Vaca Sentence Habeas Corpus:  530 General  535 Death Penalty  540 Mandamus & Ot  550 Civil Rights  555 Prison Condition	CTY 0 6 0 7 7 NNS 0 7 7 her	10 Agriculture 120 Other Food & Drug 125 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 130 Liquor Laws 140 R.R. & Truck 150 Airline Regs. 160 Occupational Safety/Health 190 Other LABOR 110 Fair Labor Standards Act 120 Labor/Mgmt. Relations 130 Labor/Mgmt.Reporting & Disclosure Act 140 Railway Labor Act 190 Other Labor Litigation 191 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act	423 Withor 28 US	C 157  RTY RIGHTS rights t smark  SECURITY (1395ff) Lung (923) C/DIWW (405(g)) Title XVI 405(g)) L, TAX-SUITS (U.S. Plaintiff endant)	480 Consus   490 Cable#   490 Cable#   810 Selecti   Exchang   875 Custon   12 USC   890 Other !   891 Agricu   892 Econor   893 Enviro   894 Energy   895 Freedo   Act   900Appeal	and Bankin erect e	ced and ons  odities/ ge ctions tation Act atters Act nation ermination ss
Ol Original A 2 R	an "X" in One Box Only) emoved from tate Court  Cite the U.S. Civil St.	Appellate Court	Reor	stated or 5 Trans: another pened (speci (Do not cite jurisdiction		16 Multidistr Litigation	rict 🛮 7	Appeal to Judge from Magistrate Judgment	m e
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	Brief description of c	use; i tortious	inte	erference W	ith pr	ospecti	ve conf	tract	_
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS UNDER F.R.C.P	IS A CLASS ACTION . 23	N D	EMAND \$ 165,00		HECK YES only U <b>RY DEMAND</b> :		n complain	nt:
VIII. RELATED CASI	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE		<b>.</b>	DOCKE	T NUMBER			
DATE 2/J 4/06		SIGNATURE OF AT	TORNEY	OF RECORD					
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY				- Tay	-			***************************************	
RECEIPT# A	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE		мас пп	OGE		

## Case 2:06-cv-00672-TJS Document 1 Filed 02/14/2006 Page 2 of 46 APPENDIX F

Address of Plaintiff: Philadelphia, PA 19103  Interactive Elements, Inc., Address of Defendant: New York, NY 10165  Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: Plaintiff alleges	
Address of Defendant: New York, NY 10165	60 East 42nd Street
News of Assident Traident or Transaction: Plaintiff alleges	
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania. (Use Reverse Side Fo	Additional Space)
Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporati	:
(Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P	7.1(a)) Yes No No
Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities?  RELATED CASE, IF ANY:	Yes NoK
Case Number: Judge	Date Terminated:
Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions:	
1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or withi	one year previously terminated action in this court?
	Yez□ No <b>∑</b>
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as	~~
action in this court?	Yes No A
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any	earlier numbered case pending or within one year previously
terminated action in this court?	Yes No 🕅
CIVIL: (Place 🗸 in ONE CATEGORY ONLY)	
A. Federal Question Cases:	B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:
1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts	1. Insurance Contract and Other Contracts
2. D FELA	2. Airplane Personal Injury
3. Dones Act-Personal Injury	3. Assault, Defamation
4. Antitrust	4. Marine Personal Injury
5. Patent	5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury
6. D Labor-Management Relations	6. Other Personal Injury (Please specify)
7. Civil Rights	7. Products Liability
8.  Habeas Corpus	8. Products Liability — Asbestos
9. Securities Act(s) Cases	9. 🔼 All other Diversity Cases
10. Social Security Review Cases	(Please specify)
11. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify)	
ARBITRATION CE (Check appropriat	· Category)
I, counsel of record do hereby	arreded
Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knoexceed the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs;	wrouge and Dener, the dantages recoverable in this civil action case
Relief other than monetary damages is sought.	
February 14; 2006 Paul G. Nof	er PA 52241
Attorney-at-Law	Attorney I.D.#
NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only	f there has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending	or within one year previously terminated action in this court
except as noted above.	

APPENDIX G

### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

Milligan &	Company,	LLC	:
Maxine Mar	V. shall, et	al.	Civil Action : No:
		DISCLOSURE	STATEMENT FORM
Please check			
Ä	The nongove above listed of corporation the	mmental corporcivil action does hat owns 10% or	not have any parent corporation and publicly held more of its stock.
ū	above listed	civil action has t	ate party,, in the he following parent corporation(s) and publicly held or more of its stock:
February Date	14, 2006		Va Signature
_,		Counsel for:	Interactive Elements, Inc.

#### Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 7.1 Disclosure Statement

- (a) WHO MUST FILE: NONGOVERNMENTAL CORPORATE PARTY. A nongovernmental corporate party to an action or proceeding in a district court must file two copies of a statement that identifies any parent corporation and any publicly held corporation that owns 10% or more of its stock or states that there is no such corporation.
  - (b) TIME FOR FILING; SUPPLEMENTAL FILING. A party must:
    - (1) file the Rule 7.1(a) statement with its first appearance, pleading, petition, motion, response, or other request addressed to the court, and
    - (2) promptly file a supplemental statement upon any change in the information that the statement requires.

APPENDIX G

### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

lilligan &	Company,	LLC	:					
Maxine Mar	V. shall, et	al.	: Civil Action : No:					
		DISCLOSURE	E STATEMENT FORM					
Please check	one box:							
Ö	The nongover above listed of corporation the	mmental corpor vivil action does nat owns 10% or	ate party,					
۵	The nongovernmental corporate party,, in the above listed civil action has the following parent corporation(s) and publicly held corporation(s) that owns 10% or more of its stock:							
February Date	14, 2006		Signature Signature					
Date		G 1.6	Interactive Elements, Inc.					
		Counsel for:						

#### Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 7.1 Disclosure Statement

- (a) WHO MUST FILE: NONGOVERNMENTAL CORPORATE PARTY. A nongovernmental corporate party to an action or proceeding in a district court must file two copies of a statement that identifies any parent corporation and any publicly held corporation that owns 10% or more of its stock or states that there is no such corporation.
  - (b) TIME FOR FILING; SUPPLEMENTAL FILING. A party must:
    - (1) file the Rule 7.1(a) statement with its first appearance, pleading, petition, motion, response, or other request addressed to the court, and
    - (2) promptly file a supplemental statement upon any change in the information that the statement requires.

APPENDIX I

#### IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

#### CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

Telephone FAX Number E-Mail Addre				
215-569-3287	215-568-6603	pnofer@klehr.com		
Date	Attorney-at-law	Attorney for		-
2/14/06	Paul G. Nofer	Interactive Elements		
(f) Standard Management -	Cases that do not fall into	any one of the other tracks.	()	X)
commonly referred to as	Cases that do not fall into to complex and that need spe ide of this form for a detail	acks (a) through (d) that are cial or intense management by ed explanation of special	(	)
(d) Asbestos – Cases involvexposure to asbestos.	ring claims for personal inju	ary or property damage from	(	)
(c) Arbitration - Cases requ	nired to be designated for a	bitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2.	(	)
(b) Social Security – Cases and Human Services de	requesting review of a dec nying plaintiff Social Secu	rision of the Secretary of Health rity Benefits	(	)
(a) Habeas Corpus – Cases	s brought under 28 U.S.C. §	2241 through §2255.	(	)
SELECT ONE OF THE F	OLLOWING CASE MAI	NAGEMENT TRACKS:		
plaintiff shall complete a ca filing the complaint and ser side of this form.) In the designation, that defendant	ase Management Track Desive a copy on all defendants. event that a defendant does shall, with its first appeara arties, a case management to	lay Reduction Plan of this court, couns signation Form in all civil cases at the ti (See § 1:03 of the plan set forth on the resonant agree with the plaintiff regarding nce, submit to the clerk of court and ser rack designation form specifying the transch.	me vei g sa ve	of rse aid on
xine Marshall, et a	:	NO.		
V.	:	ste		
	•	CIVIL ACTION		

E-Mail Address

#### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

Civil Action No.
Civil Action No.
·

#### NOTICE OF REMOVAL

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1332, 1441 and 1446, defendant Interactive Elements, Inc. ("Interactive Elements") removes the action styled *Milligan and Company, LLC v. Maxine Marshall et al.*, January 2006 Term, No. 003076 from the Philadelphia County Court of Common Pleas to this Court.

1. The complaint in this case was served on January 30, 2006. A copy of all process, pleadings, and orders served upon Interactive Elements in this action is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

- 2. This Notice of Removal has been filed within thirty (30) days of Interactive Elements' receipt of the complaint as required by 28 U.S.C. § 1446.
- 3. Removal to this Court is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1441 as the state court action is pending within this district.
- 4. This Court has original jurisdiction of this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332, as the plaintiff and defendants are citizens of different states and the amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000, exclusive of interest and costs.
- 5. By filing this Notice of Removal, defendant Interactive Elements does not waive its right to contest the Court's exercise of personal jurisdiction over Interactive Elements.
- 6. Plaintiff Milligan and Company is a Pennsylvania limited liability company with its principal place of business in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.
- 7. Defendant Interactive Elements is a New York corporation with its principal place of business in New York.
  - 8. Defendant Maxine Marshall has her domicile in Louisiana.
  - 9. Defendant Jonathan Potts has his domicile in Louisiana.
- 10. Defendant The DMP Group, Inc. is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business in Louisiana.
- 11. Plaintiff alleges claims for tortious interference with prospective contractual relations, unfair competition and civil conspiracy against each of the defendants in the amount of \$165,000.00. With respect to defendant Marshall, plaintiff also alleges claims for procuring information by improper means, breach of contract, breach of fiduciary duty of loyalty and seeks treble and punitive damages.

- 12. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1446, promptly after this notice is filed, Interactive shall give written notice to plaintiff and the Philadelphia County Court of Common Pleas, Trial Division, in the form attached hereto as Exhibits B and C, that this case has been removed to this Court.
- 13. Defendants Maxine Marshall, Jonathan Potts, and the DMP Group, Inc., consent to the removal of this action. Their Consent to Removal is attached hereto as Exhibit D.

WHEREFORE, removal is proper under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1332, 1441 and 1446.

Respectfully Submitted,

Paul G. Nofer

PA Bar No. 52241

Dawn N. Zubrick

PA Bar No. 90732

KLEHR, HARRISON, HARVEY, BRANZBURG

& ELLERS, LLP

260 South Broad Street

Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19102-5003

(215) 569-3287 (telephone)

(215) 568-6603 (facsimile)

pnofer@Klehr.com

Attorneys for Defendant Interactive Elements, Inc.

#### Of Counsel

Matthew D. Schwartz, Esquire Ryan K. Manger, Esquire THOMPSON COBURN LLP 1909 K Street, N.W., Suite 600 Washington, D.C. 20006-1167 (202) 585-6900 (telephone) (202) 585-6969 (facsimile)

Attorneys for Defendant Interactive Elements, Inc.

February 14, 2006

## EXHIBIT A

Court of Co	mmon Pleas of Philadel	lphia Count	у [		For Protocolory Use	Only (Oxade	(Nimber)
C	Trial Division <b>Ivil Cover She</b>	et				•	<sub>3030</sub> 76
PLAINTIFF'S NAME Milligan & Compa	any, LLC		1	DEFENDANTS NAME Maxine Mar	rshall .		
PLAINTIFF'S ADDRESS 105-107 North 22	2nd Street, Suite 200			2423 Killde			
Philadelphia, PA 1	9103		***************************************	New Orleans	s, LA 70122		
PLAINTIFF'S NAME			ļ -	EFENDANTS NAME Jonathan Po	ntte		
PLAINTIFF'S ADDRESS		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		EFENDANT'S ADDRES		······································	-
The state of the s			ł	2423 Killdee			
PLAINTIFF'S NAME				New Orleans	, LA 70122		
			1	The DMP G	roup, inc.		
PLAINTIFF'S ADDRESS			1	FENDANTS ADDRES	-	······································	-
			,	2423 Kilidee New Orleans,			,
TOTAL NUMBER OF PLAINTIFFE	S TOTAL NO. OF DEFENDA	ets.		EMENT OF ACTION	SANTOLE	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
1	4		Compl Writ of	aint Summens	Petition Action Transfer From Other Ju		lotice of Appeal
AMOUNT IN CONTROVERSY \$50,000.00 or less	COURT PROGRAMS Arbitration	Mass Tor		F71	~		
✓ More than \$50,000.00	Jury	Savings		범	Commerce Minor Court Appeal	İ	Settlement Minors
	Non-Jury Other:	Petition			Statutory Appeals		W/D/Survivat
10	OF ACTION (SEE INSTRUCTIONS)				**************************************		•
N/A							
RELATED PENDING CASES (LIST	r by case caption and docket num	ABER)					IS CASE SUBJECT TO COORDINATION ORDER?
							Yes No
N/A	•						0 0
				•			0 0
		······································			·		
TO THE PROTHON	OTARY:	•					
Kindly enter my app	pearance on behalf of Plain	tiff/Petitione	er/Appel	llant:	•		
Papers may be serve	ed at the address set forth	below.			v		
IAME OF PLAINTIFF'S/PETITIONE	R'S/APPELLANT'S ATTORNEY	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		ESS (SEE INSTRUC	•		
Gina M. Zippilli				Cooper Str			
HONE NUMBER (856) 848-6440	(856) 848-5002		Woo	odbury, New Je	rsey 0809		
UPREME COURT IDENTIFICATION	NO.		E-MAII	L ADDRESS		***************************************	
gnature Juna	- M. Duppi	lli	DATE	1	- 18-06		

#### Court of Common Pleas of Philadelphia County

# Trial Division Civil Cover Sheet (Supplemental Parties)

Civil Cover Sheet	
(Supplemental Parties)	
PLAINTIFF'S NAME	DEFENDANT'S NAME
Milligan & Company, LLC	Interactive Elements, Inc.
PLAINTIFF'S ADDRESS	DEFENDANT'S ADDRESS
105-107 North 22nd Street, Suite 200, Philadelphia, PA 19103	342 Madison Ave., New York, NY 10173
PLAINTIFF'S NAME .	DEPENDANT'S NAME
• .	
PLAINTIFF'S ADDRESS	DEFENDANT'S ADDRESS
PLAINTIFF 6 NAME	DEFENDANT'S NAME
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
PLAINTIFF'S ADDRESS	DEFENDANT'S ADDRESS
PLAIN IPP 0 ADDRESS	Distriction Commission
	·
PLAINTIFFS NAME	DEFENDANT'S NAME
PŁAINTIFF'S ADDRESS	DEFENDANT'S ADDREGS
PLAINTIFF'S NAME	DEFENDANT'S NAME
PLAINTIFF'S ADDRESS	DEFENDANT'S ADDRESS
LAINTIFF'S NAME	DEFENDANT'S NAME
LAINTIFF'S ADDRESS	DEFENDANT'S ADDRESS
LAINTIFF'S NAME	DEFENDANT'S NAME
AINTIFF'S ADDRESS	
MINITER & NUOVESA	DEFENDANT'S ADDRESS
AINTIFF'S NAME	DEFENDANT'S NAME .
·	·
AINTIFF'S ADDRESS	DEFENDANT'S ADDRESS
ļ	·

Milligan and Company, LLC

Maxine Marshall

#### **EXHIBIT A**

### COMMERCE PROGRAM ADDENDUM TO CIVIL COVER SHEET

This case is subject to the Commerce Program because it is not an arbitration matter and it falls within one or more of the following types (check all applicable):

	1.	Actions relating to the internal affairs or governance, dissolution or liquidation, rights or obligations between or among owners (shareholders, partners, members), or liability or indemnity of managers (officers, directors, managers, trustees, or members or partners functioning as managers) of business corporations, partnerships, limited partnerships, limited liability companies or partnerships, professional associations, business trusts, joint ventures or other business enterprises, including but not limited to any actions involving interpretation of the rights or obligations under the organic law (e.g., Pa. Business Corporation Law), articles of incorporation, by-laws or agreements governing such enterprises;
	2.	Disputes between or among two or more business enterprises relating to transactions, business relationships or contracts between or among the business enterprises. Examples of such transactions, relationships and contracts include:
		a. Uniform Commercial Code transactions;
	******	b. Purchases or sales of business or the assets of businesses;
	-	c. Sales of goods or services by or to business enterprises;
		<ul> <li>Non-consumer bank or brokerage accounts, including loan, deposit cash management and investment accounts;</li> </ul>
		e. Surety bonds;
		f. Purchases or sales or leases of, or security interests in, commercial, real or personal property; and
		g. Franchisor/franchisee relationships.
	3.	Actions relating to trade secret or non-compete agreements;
<u> </u>	4.	"Business torts," such as claims of unfair competition, or interference with contractual relations or prospective contractual relations;
********	5.	Actions relating to intellectual property disputes;
	6.	Actions relating to securities, or relating to or arising under the Pennsylvania Securities Act;
	7.	Derivative actions and class actions based on claims otherwise falling within these ten types, and consumer class actions other than personal injury and products liability claims;
<del>*********</del>	8.	Actions relating to corporate trust affairs;
, <u>.</u>	9.	Declaratory judgment actions brought by insurers, and coverage dispute and bad faith claims brought by insureds, where the dispute arises from a business or commercial insurance policy, such as a Commercial General Liability policy;
	10.	Third-party indemnification claims against insurance companies where the subject insurance policy is a business or commercial policy and where the underlying dispute would otherwise be subject to the Commerce Program, not including claims where the underlying dispute is principally a personal injury claim.

# THIS IS NOT AN ARBITRATION CASE THIS IS ASSIGNED TO THE COMMERCE PROGRAM AN ASSESSMENT ON DAMAGES HEARING IS NOT REQUIRED

GINA M. ZIPPILLI ATTY. I.D. NO.: 89789 LONG, MARMERO & MAYER, LLP 75 COOPER STREET WOODBURY, NEW JERSEY 08096

ATTORNEY FOR MILLGAN AND COMPANY, LLC



MILLIGAN AND COMPANY, LLC

105-107 North 22<sup>nd</sup> Street

Suite 200

(856) 848-6440

Philadelphia, PA 19103

Plaintiff,

JAN 2 0 2006

PHILADELPHIA COUNTY COURT OF COMMON PLEAS TRIAL DIVISION

J. COURTNEY

٧.

JANUARY 2006

MAXINE DEFENDANT MARSHALL

2423 Killdeer Street

New Orleans, LA 70122

Defendant,

and;

**JONATHAN POTTS** 

2423 Killdeer Street

New Orleans, LA 70122

Defendant,

and;

THE DMP GROUP, INC.

2423 Killdeer Street

New Orleans, LA 70122

Defendant,

and;

INTERACTIVE ELEMENTS, INC.

60 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street, Rm 535 New York, New York 10165

Defendant.

2005 Term

003076

No.:

NOTICE TO DEFEND

DISCOVERY DEADLINE:

#### NOTICE

Document 1

You have been sued in court. If you wish to defend against the claims set forth in the following pages, you must take action within twenty (20) days after this complaint and notice are served, by entering a written appearance personally or by attorney and filing in writing with the court your defenses or objections to the claims set forth against you. Be warned if you fail to respond the case may proceed without you and a judgment may be entered against you by the court without further notice for any money claimed in the complaint or for any other claim or relief requested by the plaintiff. You may lose money or property or other rights important to you.

YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS PAPER TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE. IF YOU DO NOT HAVE A LAWYER OR CANNOT AFFORD ONE, GO TO OR TELEPHONE THE OFFICE SET FORTH BELOW TO LEARN WHERE YOU CAN OBTAIN LEGAL HELP.

> Philadelphia Bar Association Lawyer Referral and Information Service One Reading Center Philadelphia, PA 19107 (215) 238-1701

#### AVISO

Le han demandado a usted en la corte. Si usted quiere defenderse de estas demandas expuestas en las paginas siguientes, usted tiene veinte (20) dias de plazo al partir de la fecha de la demanda y la notificacion. Hace falta asentar una comparencia escrita o en persona o con un abogado y entregar a la corte en forma escrita sus defensas o sus objeciones a las demandas en contra de su persona. Sea avisado que si usted no se defiende, la corte tomara medidas y puede continuar la demanda en contra suya sin previo aviso o notificacion. Ademas, la corte puede decidir a favor del demandante y requiere que usted cumpla con todas las provisiones de esta demanda. Usted puede perder dinero o sus propiedades u otros derechos importantes para usted.

LLEVE ESTA DEMANDA A UN ABOGADO IMMEDIATAMENTE. SI NO TIENE ABOGADO O SI NO TIENE EL DINERO SUFICIENTE DE PAGAR TAL SERVICIO. VAYA EN PERSONA O LLAME POR TELEFONO A LA OFICINA CUYA DIRECCION SE ENCUENTRA ESCRITA ABAJO PARA AVERIGUAR DONDE SE PUEDE CONSEGUIR ASISTENCIA LEGAL.

> Asociacion De Licenciados De Filadelfia Servicio De Referencia E Informacion Legal One Reading Center Filadelfia, PA 19107 (215) 238-1701

Commonwealth of Pennsylvania County of Philadelphia

GINA M. ZIPPILLI ATTY. I.D. NO.: 89789 LONG, MARMERO & MAYER, LLP 75 COOPER STREET WOODBURY, NEW JERSEY 08096 (856) 848-6440

# MILLIGAN AND COMPANY, LLC 105-107 North 22<sup>nd</sup> Street

Suite 200 Philadelphia, PA 19103 Plaintiff,

v.

#### MAXINE MARSHALL

2423 Killdeer Street New Orleans, LA 70122 Defendant,

and;

#### JONATHAN POTTS

2423 Killdeer Street New Orleans, LA 70122 Defendant,

and;

#### THE DMP GROUP, INC.

2423 Killdeer Street New Orleans, LA 70122 Defendant,

and:

#### INTERACTIVE ELEMENTS, INC.

342 Madison Avenue New York, New York 10173 Defendant.

PHILADELPHIA COUNTY COURT OF COMMON PLEAS TRIAL DIVISION

2005 Term

No.:

**CIVIL ACTION SUMMONS** 

To: The DMP Group, Inc. 2423 Killdeer Street New Orleans, LA 70122

You are hereby notified, Milligan and Company, LLC, Plaintiff, has commenced an action against you.

Date: December 29, 2005

Sea	l of the Cour	t	
Pro	thonotary	•	
Ву:			
•	Deputy		

#### THIS IS NOT AN ARBITRATION CASE THIS IS ASSIGNED TO THE COMMERCE PROGRAM AN ASSESSMENT ON DAMAGES HEARING IS NOT REQUIRED

GINA M. ZIPPILLI ATTY. I.D. NO.: 89789 LONG, MARMERO & MAYER, LLP **75 COOPER STREET** WOODBURY, NEW JERSEY 08096 (856) 848-6440

ATTORNEY FOR MILLGAN AND COMPANY, LLC

### MILLIGAN AND COMPANY, LLC 105-107 North 22<sup>nd</sup> Street

Suite 200 Philadelphia, PA 19103 Plaintiff,

v.

MAXINE MARSHALL

2423 Killdeer Street New Orleans, LA 70122 Defendant,

and;

**JONATHAN POTTS** 

2423 Killdeer Street New Orleans, LA 70122 Defendant,

and;

THE DMP GROUP, INC.

2423 Killdeer Street New Orleans, LA 70122 Defendant,

and;

INTERACTIVE ELEMENTS, INC.

342 Madison Avenue New York, New York 10173 Defendant.

PHILADELPHIA COUNTY COURT OF COMMON PLEAS TRIAL DIVISION

2005 Term

No:

CIVIL ACTION COMPLAINT

#### **PARTIES**

- 1. Plaintiff, Milligan and Company, LLC ("Milligan"), is a Pennsylvania limited liability company with a principal address of 105 North 22<sup>nd</sup> Street, Suite 200, Philadelphia, PA 19103. Milligan is in the accounting and consulting business.
- 2. Defendant, Maxine Defendant Marshall ("Defendant Marshall") and Defendant Jonathan Potts ("Defendant Potts), husband and wife irrespectively, are citizens of the State of New Orleans with a principal residence of 2423 Killdeer Street, New Orleans, LA 70122. Defendants Potts is President of Defendant DMP.
- 3. The DMP Group, Inc. ("Defendant DMP") is a Delaware-domiciled corporation, located at 1313 North Market Street, Wilmington, DE 19801-1151. DMP is also a non-Louisiana business corporation located at 2423 Killdeer Street, New Orleans, LA 70122.
- 4. Interactive Elements, Inc. ("Defendant Interactive") is a New York domestic business corporation with a registered address of 342 Madison Avenue, New York, NY 10173.

#### **JURISDICTION**

- 5. This Court has specific personal jurisdiction because Defendant Marshall was employed by a Pennsylvania company and executed an employment contract drafted in Pennsylvania; Defendant Potts received money from a Pennsylvania company, he conducted business in Pennsylvania and reached out into Pennsylvania with the purpose of harming a Pennsylvania company; Defendant DMP and Defendant Interactive reached into Pennsylvania and availed themselves of its benefits. See 42 P.a.C.S.A. § 5322.
- 6. Venue is proper pursuant to Pa.R.C.P. No. 1006 because Philadelphia County is where the transaction or occurrence took place out of which this cause of action arose.

#### **FACTS**

- 7. Milligan is a full-service consulting and certified public accounting firm with a national client base.
- 8. Defendant Marshall was an employee of Milligan.
- 9. On or about February 27, 1997, Defendant Marshall entered into an employment contract with Milligan whereupon she was prohibited from soliciting, competing for, or assisting any other party in soliciting or competing for any business held by or actively solicited by Milligan. See Employment Contract, attached as Exhibit "A".

- 10. Defendant Potts is the owner of Defendant DMP, a rival business company that also provides accounting services.
- 11. Since 1991, the Federal Transit Administration (the "FTA") has awarded numerous contracts to Milligan.
- 12. FTA's last award to Milligan was 1.5 million dollars ("Previous Award").
- 13. Defendant DMP acted as subcontractor on a number of these contracts awarded to Milligan by FTA.
- 14. On or about March 4, 1997 until on or about December 1, 2004, Defendant Marshall was Milligan's full time project manager on several projects, including the Triennial Review projects; contracted to Milligan by the FTA.
- 15. As project manager, Defendant Marshall had constant access to confidential information regarding Milligan's business, including bid information.
- 16. Defendant Marshall is the wife of Defendant Potts.
- 17. In July of 2004, Milligan received a Request for Proposal ("RFP") from the FTA for new Triennial Review Tasks ("Triennial").
- 18. Milligan subsequently created a response team (the "Team") for RFP, of which Defendant Marshall was an integral part.
- 19. In November 2004, the Team prepared a proposal ("Latest Proposal") for work nearly identical to work it previously did for FTA under the Previous Award, which contained confidential and pertinent information regarding Milligan's planned bid on Triennial.
- 20. Milligan was entitled to 15% profit revenue under the Latest Proposal.
- 21. Defendant Marshall was involved in the proposal process and therefore knew the financial specifics of same.
- 22. Defendant Marshall resigned from Milligan on December 1, 2004, one day before proposals were to be submitted to FTA for Trennial.
- 23. Defendant Marshall neglected to tell Milligan her resignation was due to her accepting a position at Defendant Pott's rival business.
- 24. Unbeknownst to Milligan, Defendant DMP became a subcontractor of Defendant Interactive.
- 25. Thus, Defendant DMP was collaborating with one of Milligan's competitors on the very same RFP for the Triennial Review Tasks.

- Upon information and belief, Defendant Marshall used confidential and work 26. product information obtained from her work with Milligan's Team to assist Defendant Potts, Defendant DMP and Defendant Interactive to diminish the value of Milligan's proposal.
- Rather than the previous 1.5 million award, FTA only awarded a \$400,000.00 27. contract to Milligan for nearly identical work.
- As a result, Milligan suffered a \$165,000.00 profit loss. 28.

#### COUNT I - DEFENDANT MARSHALL TORTIOUS INTERFERENCE WITH PROSPECTIVE CONTRACTUAL RELATIONS

- 29. The allegations in paragraphs 1 through 28, above, are incorporated herein by reference and made part hereof.
- From July 2004 through December 2004, Milligan and FTA engaged in business 30. for consulting services.
- Defendant Marshall knew of Milligan's prospective contract with FTA and was 31. involved in securing same.
- 32. Upon information and belief, Defendant Marshall informed Defendant Potts, Defendant DMP and Defendant Interactive of said contract and its terms.
- Upon information and belief, after learning of Milligan's proposal Defendant 33. Marshall transferred said information to Defendant Potts and Defendant DMP, who thereafter submitted same to Defendant Interactive.
- 34. Defendant Interactive thereafter submitted a competitive proposal to FTA and was awarded the majority bid.
- 35. The actions on the part of Defendant Marshall, Defendant Potts, Defendant DMP and Defendant Interactive were intended to, and in fact did, harm Milligan.
- 36. Defendant Marshall, Defendant Potts, Defendant DMP and Defendant Interactive cannot claim justification or validation for their actions.
- 37. As a direct result of Defendant Marshalls, Defendant Potts, Defendant DMP and Defendant Interactive's actions, FTA awarded the majority of contract work to Defendant Interactive and awarded a contract to Milligan, which was 75% less then previously assigned, and nearly identical, work.
- Milligan has suffered substantial damages by loss of contract value. 38.

WHEREFORE, Milligan respectfully requests this Court to enter judgment in its favor and against Defendant Marshall, jointly and severally with Defendant Potts, Defendant DMP and Defendant Interactive, in the amount of \$165,000.00 plus attorneys' fees, costs of suit and any other relief this Court deems just and proper.

# COUNT II – DEFENDANT POTTS TORTIOUS INTERFERENCE WITH PROSPECTIVE CONTRACTUAL RELATIONS

- 39. The allegations in paragraphs 1 through 38, above, are incorporated herein by reference and made part hereof.
- 40. From July 2004 through December 2004, Milligan and FTA engaged in business for consulting services.
- 41. Upon information and belief, Defendant Potts, knew or had reason to know, of Milligan's prospective contract with FTA.
- 42. Upon information and belief, Defendant Potts, as principal of Defendant DMP transferred the bid terms to Interactive.
- 43. Upon information and belief, Defendant Potts intended Interactive to use said information to submit a competitive bid.
- 44. Defendant Interactive thereafter submitted a competitive proposal to FTA and was awarded the majority bid.
- 45. The actions on the part of Defendant Marshall, Defendant Potts, Defendant DMP and Defendant Interactive were intended to, and in fact did, harm Milligan.
- 46. Neither Defendant Marshall, Defendant Potts, Defendant DMP nor Defendant Interactive can claim any justification or validation for their actions.
- 47. As a direct result of Defendant Marshall, Defendant Potts, Defendant DMP and Defendant Interactive's actions, FTA awarded the majority of contract work to Defendant Interactive and awarded a contract to Milligan that was 75% less then previously assigned, and nearly identical, work.
- 48. Milligan has suffered substantial damages by loss of contract value.

WHEREFORE, Milligan respectfully requests this Court to enter judgment in its favor and against Defendant Potts, jointly and severally with Defendant Marshall, Defendant DMP and Defendant Interactive in the amount of \$165,000.00 plus attorneys' fees, costs of suit and any other relief this Court deems just and proper.

#### COUNT III - DMP TORTIOUS INTERFERENCE WITH PROSPECTIVE CONTRACTUAL RELATIONS

- 49. The allegations in paragraphs 1 through 48, above, are incorporated herein by reference and made part hereof.
- 50. From July 2004 through December 2004, Milligan and FTA engaged in business for consulting services.
- 51. Upon information and belief, Defendant DMP knew, or should have known, of Milligan's prospective contract with FTA.
- 52. Upon information and belief. Defendant DMP, through its principal Defendant Potts, knew of said contract and its terms.
- Upon information and belief, after learning of Milligan's proposal Defendant 53. DMP transferred the confidential bid information to Defendant Interactive.
- 54. Defendant Interactive thereafter submitted a competitive proposal to FTA and was awarded the bid.
- 55. The actions on the part of Defendant Marshall, Defendant Potts, Defendant DMP and Defendant Interactive were intended to, and in fact did, harm Milligan.
- 56. Neither Defendant Marshall, Defendant Potts, Defendant DMP nor Defendant Interactive can claim any justification or validation for their actions.
- As a direct result of Defendant Marshall, Defendant Potts, Defendant DMP and 57. Defendant Interactive's actions, FTA awarded the majority of contract work to Defendant Interactive and awarded a contract to Milligan that was 75% less then previously assigned, and nearly identical, work.
- 58. Milligan has suffered substantial damages by loss of contract value.

WHEREFORE, Milligan respectfully requests this Court to enter judgment in its favor and against DMP, jointly and severally with Defendant Marshall, Defendant Potts, and Defendant Interactive in the amount of \$165,000.00 plus attorneys' fees, costs of suit and any other relief this Court deems just and proper.

#### COUNT IV - DEFENDANT INTERACTIVE TORTIOUS INTERFERENCE WITH PROSPECTIVE CONTRACTUAL RELATIONS

- The allegations in paragraphs 1 through 58, above, are incorporated herein by 59. reference and made part hereof.
- 60. From July 2004 through December 2004, Milligan and FTA engaged in business for consulting services.
- 61. Upon information and belief, Defendant Interactive knew, or should have known, of Milligan's prospective contract with FTA.
- Upon information and belief, Defendant Interactive knew of said contract and its 62. terms.
- 63. Upon information and belief, after learning of Milligan's proposal Defendant Interactive obtained and used Milligan's bid information to submit a competitive proposal.
- 64. The FTA awarded the majority bid to Defendant Interactive.
- The actions on the part of Defendant Marshall, Defendant Potts, Defendant DMP 65. and Defendant Interactive were intended to, and in fact did, harm Milligan.
- 66. Neither Defendant Marshall, Defendant Potts, Defendant DMP nor Defendant Interactive can claim any justification or validation for their actions.
- As a direct result of Defendant Marshall, Defendant Potts, Defendant DMP and 67. Defendant Interactive's actions, FTA awarded the majority of contract work to Defendant Interactive and awarded a contract to Milligan that was 75% less then previously assigned, and nearly identical, work.
- 68. Milligan has suffered substantial damages by loss of contract value.

WHEREFORE, Milligan respectfully requests this Court to enter judgment in its favor and against Defendant Interactive, jointly and severally with Defendant Marshall, Defendant Potts, and Defendant DMP in the amount of \$165,000.00 plus attorneys' fees, costs of suit and any other relief this Court deems just and proper.

#### COUNT VI - DEFENDANT MARSHALL PROCURING INFORMATION BY IMPROPER MEANS

- The allegations in paragraphs 1 through 68, above, are incorporated herein by 69. reference and made part hereof.
- Defendant Potts and Milligan are rival business competitors. 70.
- Upon information and belief. Defendant Marshall obtained Milligan's bid 71. information and transferred same to Defendant Potts, eventually allowing Defendant Interactive to submit a lower bid to FTA.
- Milligan's bid is confidential business information. 72.
- Defendant Marshall ascertained Milligan's bid information by improper means 73. due to her fraudulent misrepresentation of loyalty to Milligan.
- Defendant Marshall knew her concealment of motives was deceitful; that Milligan 74. had no knowledge at that time Defendant Marshall was planning on resigning to work for Defendant Potts; and Milligan would rely on Defendant Marshall to protect Milligan's business interests.
- As a result of Defendant Marshall's fraud, Milligan lost the substantial review 75. work and has suffered at least \$165,000.00 in damages.

WHEREFORE, Milligan demands treble damages against Defendant Marshall in the amount of \$495,000.00, plus interest and costs.

#### COUNT VII - DEFENDANT MARSHALL BREACH OF CONTRACT

- The allegations in paragraphs 1 through 75, above, are incorporated herein by 76. reference and made part hereof.
- Milligan has at all times performed the terms of the employment contract to be 77. performed on its part in the manner specified in same.

- 78. Defendant Marshall and Defendant Potts knew FTA was a former, current and potential client of Milligan.
- 79. Defendant Marshall failed to perform terms of the employment contract because Defendant Marshall solicited, competed for, and assisted Defendant Potts in soliciting and competing for business actively solicited by Milligan during Defendant Marshall's course of employment and beyond.
- 80. As a result of Defendant Marshall's breach of the employment contract, Milligan has been damaged in the following particulars: loss of contract award; loss of revenue attached to such award; and loss of future business with contractor.

WHEREFORE, Milligan requests judgment against Defendant Marshall for damages, together with attorney's fees and costs of suit, and any other relief the court deems proper.

#### COUNT VIII – DEFENDANT MARSHALL BREACH OF FIDUCIARY DUTY OF LOYALTY

- 81. The allegations in paragraphs 1 through 80, above, are incorporated herein by reference and made part hereof.
- 82. Defendant Marshall, as Project Manager of Milligan, was an agent of Milligan and therefore had a duty of loyalty to it.
- 83. Defendant Marshall was entrusted with confidential and delicate financial information, which if divulged to competitors would be detrimental to Milligan's endeavors to secure the FTA contract.
- 84. Both Defendant Marshall and Defendant Potts knew Milligan was soliciting FTA for its contract award.
- 85. During her employment with Milligan, Defendant Marshall actively engaged and worked with Defendant Potts diverting FTA awards by improperly obtaining and disclosing Milligan's confidential bid information and submitting same to Defendant Potts and Defendant DMP.
- 86. Defendant Potts and Defendant DMP, competitors of Milligan, have conflicting interests with Milligan.
- 87. Defendant Marshall's acts constitute a breach of fiduciary duty to Milligan.

88. As a result of Defendant's actions, Milligan suffered substantial loss of revenue.

WHEREFORE, Milligan respectfully requests this Court to enter judgment in its favor and against Defendant Marshall in the amount of \$165,000.00 plus attorneys' fees, costs of suit and any other relief this Court deems just and proper.

### COUNT IX – DEFENDANT MARSHALL UNFAIR COMPETITION

- 89. The allegations in paragraphs 1 through 88, above, are incorporated herein by reference and made part hereof.
- 90. Defendant Marshall's theft and disclosure of Milligan's confidential bid information constitutes unfair competition.
- 91. Defendant Marshall, Defendant Potts, Defendant DMP and Defendant Interactive's acts' crippled and destroyed an integral part of Milligan's business organization by inducing FTA, one of Milligan's largest clients, not to award a substantial contract to Milligan.
- 92. As a result of her actions, Millgan suffered a severe loss of revenue.

WHEREFORE, Milligan respectfully requests this Court to enter judgment in its favor and against Defendant Marshall in the amount of \$165,000.00 plus attorneys' fees, costs of suit and any other relief this Court deems just and proper.

### COUNT X – DEFENDANT POTTS UNFAIR COMPETITION

- 93. The allegations in paragraphs 1 through 92, above, are incorporated herein by reference and made part hereof.
- 94. Defendant Potts knew or had reason to know through intimate dealings with Defendant Marshall the confidential bid information he obtained from Defendant Marshall was wrongfully acquired.
- 95. Defendant Potts' use and transmittal of said confidential bid information constitutes unfair competition.
- 96. As a result of his actions, Millgan suffered a severe loss of revenue.

WHEREFORE, Milligan respectfully requests this Court to enter judgment in its favor and against Defendant Potts in the amount of \$165,000.00 plus attorneys' fees, costs of suit and any other relief this Court deems just and proper.

#### COUNT XI - DEFENDANT DMP UNFAIR COMPETITION

- The allegations in paragraphs 1 through 96, above, are incorporated herein by 97. reference and made part hereof.
- Defendant DMP knew or had reason to know through intimate dealings with 98. Defendant Marshall the confidential bid information it obtained from Defendant Marshall was wrongfully acquired.
- Defendant DMP's use and transmittal of said confidential bid information to 99. Defendant Interactive constitutes unfair competition.
- As a result of its actions, Millgan suffered a severe loss of revenue. 100.

WHEREFORE, Milligan respectfully requests this Court to enter judgment in its favor and against Defendant DMP in the amount of \$165,000.00 plus attorneys' fees, costs of suit and any other relief this Court deems just and proper.

#### **COUNT XII – DEFENDANT INTERACTIVE UNFAIR COMPETITION**

- The allegations in paragraphs 1 through 100, above, are incorporated herein by 101. reference and made part hereof.
- Defendant Interactive knew or had reason to know through intimate dealings with 102. Defendant Marshall the confidential bid information it obtained from Defendant Marshall was wrongfully acquired.
- Defendant Interactive's use of said confidential bid information constitutes unfair 103. competition.
- As a result of its actions, Millgan suffered a severe loss of revenue. 104.

WHEREFORE, Milligan respectfully requests this Court to enter judgment in its favor and against Defendant Interactive in the amount of \$165,000.00 plus attorneys' fees, costs of suit and any other relief this Court deems just and proper.

### COUNT XIII – DEFENDANT MARSHALL CIVIL CONSPIRACY

- 105. The allegations in paragraphs 1 through 104, above, are incorporated herein by reference and made part hereof.
- 106. Upon information and belief, Defendant Marshall disclosed Milligan's confidential business information to Defendant Potts and Defendant DMP.
- 107. Defendant Marshall, Defendant Potts and Defendant DMP knew FTA was a former and potential client of Milligan.
- 108. Defendant Marshall's disclosure of confidential information was a restrictive business practice and therefore constitutes an unlawful act.
- 109. Defendant Marshall's transfer of said information to Defendant Potts, Defendant DMP and Defendant Interactive for unlawful means is an overt act.
- 110. Defendant Marshall, Defendant Potts, Defendant DMP and Defendant Interactive, agreed and intended to harm Milligan by soliciting FTA's business based on the disclosed information.
- 111. As a result of Defendant Marshall, Defendant Potts, Defendant DMP and Defendant Interactive's actions', Millgan suffered a severe loss of revenue.

WHEREFORE, Milligan respectfully requests this Court to enter judgment in its favor and against Defendant Marshall, Defendant Potts, Defendant DMP and Defendant Interactive, jointly and severally, in the amount of \$165,000.00 plus attorneys' fees, costs of suit and any other relief this Court deems just and proper.

#### COUNT XIV - DEFENDANT POTTS CIVIL CONSPIRACY

- The allegations in paragraphs 1 through 111, above, are incorporated herein by 112. reference and made part hereof.
- Upon information and belief, Defendant Marshall disclosed Milligan's confidential business information to Defendant Potts, Defendant DMP and Defendant Interactive.
- 114. Defendant Potts, through relations with Defendant Marshall, knew, or should have known, FTA was a former and potential client of Milligan.
- Defendant Pott's acceptance and use of improperly obtained confidential 115. information was a restrictive business practice and therefore constitutes an unlawful act.
- Defendant Pott's transfer of same to Defendant Interactive for unlawful means is 116. an overt act.
- Defendant Marshall, Defendant Potts, Defendant DMP and Defendant Interactive, 117. agreed and intended to harm Milligan by soliciting FTA's business based on the disclosed information.
- As a result of Defendant Marshall, Defendant Potts, Defendant DMP and 118. Defendant Interactive's actions', Millgan suffered a severe loss of revenue.

WHEREFORE, Milligan respectfully requests this Court to enter judgment in its favor and against Defendant Marshall, Defendant Potts, Defendant DMP and Defendant Interactive, jointly and severally in the amount of \$165,000.00 plus attorneys' fees, costs of suit and any other relief this Court deems just and proper.

### COUNT XV - DMP CIVIL CONSPIRACY

- The allegations in paragraphs 1 through 118, above, are incorporated herein by 119. reference and made part hereof.
- Upon information and belief, Defendant Marshall disclosed Milligan's 120. confidential business information to Defendant DMP.
- Defendant DMP, through its dealings with Defendant Marshall and Defendant Potts, knew, or should have known, FTA was a former and potential client of Milligan.

- 122. Defendant DMP's acceptance and use of confidential information was a restrictive business practice and therefore constitutes an unlawful act.
- 123. Defendant DMP's transfer of said information to Defendant Interactive for unlawful means is an overt act.
- 124. Defendant Marshall, Defendant Potts, Defendant DMP and Defendant Interactive, agreed and intended to harm Milligan by soliciting FTA's business based on the disclosed information.
- 125. As a result of Defendant Marshall, Defendant Potts, Defendant DMP and Defendant Interactive's actions', Millgan suffered a severe loss of revenue.

WHEREFORE, Milligan respectfully requests this Court to enter judgment in its favor and against Defendant Marshall, Defendant Potts, Defendant DMP and Defendant Interactive, jointly and severally, in the amount of \$165,000.00 plus attorneys' fees, costs of suit and any other relief this Court deems just and proper.

### COUNT XVI – DEFENDANT INTERACTIVE CIVIL CONSPIRACY

- 126. The allegations in paragraphs 1 through 125 above, are incorporated herein by reference and made part hereof.
- 127. Upon information and belief, Defendant Marshall disclosed Milligan's confidential business information to Defendant Interactive.
- 128. Defendant Interactive, through intimate dealings with Defendant Marshall, Defendant Potts and Defendant DMP, knew, or should have known, FTA was a former and potential client of Milligan.
- 129. Defendant Interactive's use of said information to prepare its own bid submittal was a restrictive business practice and therefore constitutes an unlawful act.
- 130. Defendant Interactive use and supply of said information to FTA for unlawful means is an overt act.
- 131. Defendant Marshall, Defendant Potts, Defendant DMP and Defendant Interactive, agreed and intended to harm Milligan by soliciting FTA's business based on the disclosed information.

132. As a result of Defendant Marshall, Defendant Potts, Defendant DMP and Defendant Interactive's actions', Millgan suffered a severe loss of revenue.

WHEREFORE, Milligan respectfully requests this Court to enter judgment in its favor and against Defendant Marshall, Defendant Potts, Defendant DMP and Defendant Interactive, jointly and severally, in the amount of \$165,000.00 plus attorneys' fees, costs of suit and any other relief this Court deems just and proper.

### COUNT XVII PUNITIVE DAMAGES

- 133. The allegations in paragraphs 1 through 132, above, are incorporated herein by reference and made part hereof.
- 134. Defendant Marshall's intentional fraudulent misrepresentations and intentional fraudulent concealments were outrageous and malicious, willful and wanton, and were intended to, and in fact did, harm Milligan.

WHEREFORE, Milligan demands punitive damages against Defendant Marshall in an amount in excess of \$1.5 million dollars plus interest and costs.

Gina M. Zippilli

Attorney for Milligan & Company, LLC

Dated: December 29, 2005

#### **VERIFICATION**

Gina M. Zippilli, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says she is the attorney for the Milligan in this matter and the facts set forth in the foregoing are true and correct to the best of her knowledge, information and belief. This statement is made subject to the penalties of 18 Pa.C.S. Subsection 4904, relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.

Gina M. Zipoi

**SWORN** to and subscribed before me this 29<sup>th</sup> day of December, 2005.

1,700....

My commission expires 2007.

### COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA COUNTY OF PHILADELPHIA

Gina M. Zippilli, being duly sworn, upon oath, deposes and says as follows:

1. I am the attorney for Milligan and Company, LLC, Plaintiff in the aboveentitled cause. On December 29, 2005, I caused to be transmitted, by a form of mail requiring a signed receipt, a copy of the complaint filed in this action to the following:

Milligan and Company, LLC 105-107 North 22<sup>nd</sup> Street Suite 200 Philadelphia, PA 19103

Maxine Defendant Marshall 2423 Killdeer Street New Orleans, LA 70122

Jonathan Potts 2423 Killdeer Street New Orleans, LA 70122

The DMP Group, Inc. 2423 Killdeer Street New Orleans, LA 70122

Interactive Elements, Inc. 342 Madison Ave. New York, NY 10173

A copy of my transmittal letter is attached hereto as Exhibit "B".

Gina M. Zippilli

SWORN to and subscribed

before me this 24h day of

December, 2006.

Nyetta Fields, Notary Public

EXHIBIT A



Mrs. Maxine A. Marshall February 24, 1997 Page No. 2

#### **Fees**

All fees and compensation received or realized as a result of the rendition of professional services by you on the above mentioned contract with FTA shall belong to and be paid and delivered to JMCPA.

#### Restrictive Practice

During your employment by JMCPA, you shall not be prohibited from accepting assignments from other parties provided that such other assignments do not interfere with you performing work assigned to you by JMCPA and that you notify JMCPA of the nature of such work. You further agree that during the term of this agreement you will not solicit or compete for, or assist any other party in soliciting or competing for, any business held or actively solicited by JMCPA.

The salary for this position is \$50 per hour to be paid at a full-time level through September 30, 1997 with the expectation that the level of effort will slow to 80% thereafter. It is expected that 100% of your salary will be billable to the FTA. The salary is to be paid twice monthly, concurrent with JMCPA payroll.

You will receive a performance and salary review annually. The date of your first review will be February 20, 1998.

#### **Benefits**

You will be eligible to participate in all JMCPA employee benefit programs. (See personnel manual enclosure). You will have three (3) weeks of paid vacation. On October 1, 1997 your vacation will be paid at 80% to coincide with the expected level of effort. We will reimburse you \$163.40/for your health insurance costs.

Office Equipment

JMCPA will reimburse you for consumable office supplies (paper, ink, cartridge, files, envelopes, postage, shipping, etc.) that are used for the project, as the expenses are incurred. JMCPA will also reimburse you for direct expenses that are billed monthly, such as telephone calls, Internet Access (America On-Line), overnight shipping services, etc.

#### Travel

JMCPA will pay the annual fee for you to maintain a credit card to be used for business travel. Request for reimbursement for travel or other expenses should be filed according to company procedures and JMCPA will issue reimbursement within 15 business days.



Mrs. Maxine A. Marshall February 24, 1997 Page No. 3

Clerical Support

JMCPA will provide or reimburse you for clerical support for maintaining project files, finalizing documents, arranging some logistics, etc. It is anticipated that you will require approximately 12-16 hours of clerical support per week, which will be billable to the project.

Employment Application

The enclosed forms must be completed and returned to me as soon as possible.

Employment application

Employment contract

Independence Representation

Form W-4 Employee's Withholding Allowance Certificate

Form I-9 Employment Eligibility Verification (attach copy of acceptable documents from List

A or List B and List C)

**Employee Information** 

Please review this letter and if it is true to your complete understanding of the terms and conditions of your employment, please sign the enclosed copy where indicated and return it to me as soon as possible.

Sincerely,

John Milligan, CPA

I agree to the terms of employment outlined above:

Maxine A. Marshall

### **EXHIBIT B**

PS Form 3811, February 2004.	(Transfer from service label)		Them Ormans, LA	Killblage ?	1. Article Addressed to:	Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece or on the front if space permits.	item 4 if Restricted Delivery is desired.  Print your name and address on the reverse	SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION	PS Form 3811, February 2004	2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)	New Orleans, L	2423 Killdean St.	1. 'Article Addressed to:	so that we can return the card to you.  Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece or on the front if space permits.	items 1, 2, and 3. Also complete 4 if Restricted Delivery is desired. fift your name and address on the reverse
Domestic Return Receipt	7005		A 70132	· 8		ne mailpiece,	sired. the reverse	TION	Domestic Return Receipt	7005 (	PE10L. 4	72		you. e mailpiece,	complete sired. the reverse
tum Receipt	2570 0000 0032 5259	4. Restricted Delivery? (Extra Fee)	3. Service Type Certified Mail		D. Is delivery address different from item 17  If YES, enter delivery address below:	B. Received by (Printed Name)	X ogranic	COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY	lum Receipt	0390 0003 4375 5906	3. Service Type Certified Mail Priegistered Insured Mail 4. Restricted Delivery?		D. is delivery address different from item 1? If YES, enter delivery address below:	B. Received by ( Printed Name)	A. Signature X
102595-02-M-1540	59	□Yes	☐ Express Mail >☐ Return Receipt for Merchandise ☐ C.O.D.		ow: UNo	1 9	☐ Agent ☐ Addressee	LIVERY	102595-02-M-1540	16	☐ Express Mall  ☐ Return Recelpt for Merchandise ☐ C.O.D.  ☐ C.Wara Fee) ☐ Yes		em 17 Ll Yes ow: Il No	1 21	☐ Agent

,

2. Article Number 7005 0390 01 (Transfer from service label) 7005 0390 01 PS Form 3811, February 2004 Domestic Return Receipt	Interactive Clements, Ix. 342 Madison Avenue New York, New York 10173	<ul> <li>Complete items 1, 2, and 3. Also complete item 4 if Restricted Delivery is desired.</li> <li>Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.</li> <li>Attach this card to the back of the malipiece, or on the front if space permits.</li> <li>1. Article Addressed to:</li> </ul>	2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)  PS Form 3811, February 2004  Domestic Return Receipt	The DMPGroup Inc. 2423 Killder St. New Orleans, LA 20122	<ul> <li>SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION</li> <li>Complete items 1, 2, and 3. Also complete item 4 if Restricted Delivery is desired.</li> <li>Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.</li> <li>Attach this card to the back of the malipiece, or on the front if space permits.</li> <li>Article Addressed to:</li> </ul>
0390 0003 4375 5883 Return Receipt 102595-02-M-1540	3. Service Type  Certified Mail Express Mail  Registered Affectum Receipt for Merchandise  Insured Mail C.O.D.  4. Restricted Delivery? (Extra Fee)	A. Signature  A. Signature  C. Date of Delivery  D. Is delivery address different from item 17  Yes  If YES, enter delivery address below:	шп Receipt 102595-02-М-1540 ;	ਰੈਂ	A. Signature  X  A. Received by ( Printed Name)  D. Is delivery address below:  If YES, enter delivery address below:  C. Date of Delivery

## **EXHIBIT B**

### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

Page 41 of 46

MILLIGAN AND COMPANY, LLC	) ) )
Plaintiff,	)
v.	) Civil Action No.
MAXINE MARSHALL, et al.,	)
Defendants.	<i>)</i> ) )

To: Plaintiff Milligan and Company, LLC

YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED that defendant Interactive Elements, Inc., with the consent of defendants Maxine Marshall, Jonathan Potts and the DMP Group, Inc., has removed the case styled *Milligan and Company, LLC v. Marshall et al.*, Civil Action No. 003076 to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania.

Paul G. Nofer, I.D. No. 52241
Dawn N. Zubrick, I.D. No. 90732
KLEHR, HARRISON, HARVEY,
BRANZBURG & ELLERS LLP
260 South Broad Street
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19102
Telephone No.: (215) 569-3287
pnofer@Klehr.com

Attorney for Defendant Interactive Elements, Inc.

#### Of Counsel

Matthew D. Schwartz, Esquire Ryan K. Manger, Esquire THOMPSON COBURN LLP 1909 K Street, N.W., Suite 600 Washington, D.C. 20006-1167 (202) 585-6900 (telephone) (202) 585-6969 (facsimile)

Attorneys for Defendant Interactive Elements, Inc.

Dated: February 14, 2006

## EXHIBIT C

Paul G. Nofer pnofer@Klehr.com

Identification No.: 52241

KLEHR, HARRISON, HARVEY, BRANZBURG & ELLERS LLP

260 South Broad Street

Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19102 Telephone No.: (215) 569-3287 Attorney for Defendant Interactive Elements, Inc.

Milligan and Company, LLC

PHILADELPHIA COUNTY
COURT OF COMMON PLEAS

Plaintiff,

V.

January Term, 2006

Maxine Marshall, et al.

No. 03076

Defendants.

**COMMERCE PROGRAM** 

To: The Prothonotary of the Court of Common Pleas of Philadelphia County

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1446(d), defendant Interactive Elements, Inc. files herewith a copy of the Notice of Removal filed in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania on the 14th day of February, 2006.

Paul G. Nofer

Attorney for Defendant Interactive Elements, Inc.

Dated: February 14, 2006

Of Counsel

Matthew D. Schwartz, Esquire Ryan K. Manger, Esquire THOMPSON COBURN LLP 1909 K Street, N.W., Suite 600 Washington, D.C. 20006-1167 (202) 585-6900 (telephone) (202) 585-6969 (facsimile)

Attorneys for Defendant Interactive Elements, Inc.

## **EXHIBIT D**

#### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

MILLIGAN AND COMPANY, LLC	) )
Plaintiff,	) )
v.	) Civil Action No.
MAXINE MARSHALL, et al.,	) )
Defendants.	) ) )

#### **CONSENT TO REMOVAL**

Defendants Maxine Marshall, Jonathan Potts and the DMP Group, Inc., by and through their attorney, consent to the Notice of Removal filed by Defendant Interactive Elements, Inc. in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania for removal of an action pending in the Philadelphia County Court of Common Pleas styled *Milligan and Company*, LLC v. Marshall et al., Civil Action No. 003076.

Respectfully Submitted,

Clarence Roby, Esquire

LAW OFFICE OF CLARENCE ROBY, A.P.L.C.

3701 Canal Street

New Orleans, Louisiana 70119

(504) 289-7145 (telephone)

(504) 299-2991 (facsimile)

Attorney for Defendants Maxine Marshall, Jonathan Potts and the DMP Group, Inc.

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

The undersigned hereby certifies that on February 14, 2006, a copy of the Notice of Removal and all accompanying papers was sent by First Class mail, postage prepaid, to the following:

Gina M. Zippili Long, Marmero & Mayer, LLP 75 Cooper Street Woodbury, NJ 08096 Counsel for Plaintiff

Clarence Roby, Esquire Law Office of Clarence Roby, A.P.L.C. 3701 Canal Street New Orleans, LA 70119

Attorney for Defendants Maxine Marshall, Jonathan Potts and the DMP Group, Inc.

Dawn N. Zubrick